

Editorial

Impacts of land use change on climate

- 1 The US National Research Council (NRC, 2005) recommended the expansion of the climate change issue to include land use and land-cover processes as an important climate forcing. These processes have not been a major component of past Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports. The NRC report states that beyond the change in mean atmospheric composition caused by increasing greenhouse gases, landscape variations may have important local, regional and potentially global climatic implications. In some cases, the climate response to land use and land-cover change may even exceed the contribution from increasing greenhouse gases. 'Improving societally relevant projections of regional climate impacts will require a better understanding of the magnitudes of regional forcings and the associated climate responses.' The International Geosphere Biosphere Programme (IGBP) and the Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX) have also identified the importance of understanding the climate response to land use and land-cover change. As we move forward to the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC, there is growing impetus to address this aspect of anthropogenic impacts on the planet's environment. As a matter of fact, the CMIP5 suite of climate simulations that will be run for this report assessment (<http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/>) now includes a new forcing dataset: the changes in land–surface areas used for agriculture, grazing activities, forestry, etc.
- 28
29 AQ2 This special issue is inspired by a recent NSF-sponsored workshop titled 'Detecting the Atmospheric Response to the Changing Face of the Earth: A Focus on Human-Caused Regional Climate Forcings, Land-Cover/Land Use Change, and Data Monitoring' that was held in Boulder, Colorado, USA in August 2007. Workshop presentations are available online from the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Joint Office for Science Support (JOSS; http://www.joss.ucar.edu/joss_psg/meetings/Meetings_2007/Detecting/Index.html), and an overview of workshop conclusions is presented by Mahmood *et al.* (2010). Presenters from this workshop and other interested researchers have contributed articles for two special issues. In addition to this special issue of the *International Journal of Climatology*, there is also a special issue of *Boundary Layer Meteorology* (Niyogi *et al.*, 2009) focusing on the effects of land use and land-cover change on fluxes to the atmosphere, and subsequent impacts on weather and climate at the synoptic scales and the mesoscale.
- 49 This special issue introduces a number of the studies presented at the Boulder workshop. Most of the studies examine regional impacts of land surface states on climate (Costa and Pires, 2010; Fall *et al.*, 2010a, 2010b; Ge, 2010; Kishtawal *et al.*, 2010; Mishra *et al.*, 2010; Moore *et al.*, 2010; Petchprayoon *et al.*, 2010; Sertel *et al.*, 2010; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010; Tokairin *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010). However, several studies take a global perspective of land-cover consequences (Anantharaj *et al.*, 2010; Kvalevåg *et al.*, 2010; Lawrence and Chase, 2010; Strengers *et al.*, 2010). Hibbard *et al.* (2010) sums up with a position paper on recommended future directions for research.
- Both observational and modelling studies are presented in this study. The observational studies use *in situ* climate data (Petchprayoon *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010), satellite measurements (Ge, 2010; Kishtawal *et al.*, 2010) and data from regional reanalyses (Fall *et al.*, 2010a, 2010b). The modelling studies use regional atmospheric models (Moore *et al.*, 2010; Sertel *et al.*, 2010; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010; Tokairin *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010), global climate models (Anantharaj *et al.*, 2010; Costa and Pires, 2010; Kvalevåg *et al.*, 2010; Lawrence and Chase, 2010; Strengers *et al.*, 2010) and one uses a land surface model run offline (Mishra *et al.*, 2010).
- Several studies focus on the impact of urbanization on climate change. Kishtawal *et al.* (2010) look at the evidence of urbanization on precipitation trends and the occurrence of extreme rainfall events over India. Petchprayoon *et al.* (2010) search for evidence that increased runoff and flooding in the Yom River Basin of Thailand is connected to changes in land use, particularly the spread of urban areas. Sertel *et al.* (2010) find evidence that inaccurate specification of land cover in the default configuration of the Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model, and in particular, poor representation of the extent of urban areas, impairs the simulation of surface temperature as compared to station reports. Tokairin *et al.* (2010) use a mesoscale model to examine the effects of urbanization on circulation over the island of Java, Indonesia.
- Other studies examine the consequences of regional vegetation change on climate. Costa and Pires (2010) model the precipitation response to future deforestation scenarios over South America, considering not only the tropical forests but also the cerrado to the south. Mishra *et al.* (2010) look at historic, current and future land use effects on surface fluxes over Wisconsin in offline simulations with the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) land surface model driven by meteorological output from IPCC climate models. Ge (2010) examines the effect of agriculture, specifically the cultivation of winter wheat,

1 compared to native vegetation, on surface temperature
2 over the Southern Great Plains of the United States. Wet
3 and dry soil conditions in a high-resolution model of
4 Southeast Asia are used by Takahashi *et al.* (2010) to
5 investigate the effect of extreme land use change on wet
6 season climate. Xiao *et al.* (2010) appraise whether the
7 flooding caused by construction of the Three Gorges Dam
8 can be linked to changes in rainfall in the vicinity of the
9 resulting reservoir.

10 A number of studies take a global view of the impacts
11 of land-cover change on climate that may be of great
12 interest to the IPCC. Strengers *et al.* (2010) determine
13 that anthropogenic changes to land cover have climate
14 consequences that far outweigh the secondary feedbacks
15 that vegetation responding to projected climate change
16 will have on climate. Lawrence and Chase (2010) per-
17 form a classic global simulation of climate with current
18 vegetation *versus* potential (no anthropogenic land use
19 changes) vegetation in the NCAR climate model. They
20 find the impact of vegetation change on the surface hydro-
21 logic cycle to outweigh radiative impacts (changes in
22 albedo). Anantharaj *et al.* (2010) find in the same model
23 significant errors in top-of-the-atmosphere and surface
24 albedo. When surface albedo is corrected, the simula-
25 tion of the atmospheric radiative budget is improved.
26 Kvalevåg *et al.* (2010) use a climate model to separate the
27 phenological component of land-cover change from the
28 albedo changes, and find that albedo changes are keys
29 in areas where cropland is the main land use change.
30 However, changes in phenology are important contrib-
31 utors to the warming signal in the model. Fall *et al.*
32 (2010a) () corroborate this result examining the North
33 American Regional Reanalysis (NARR), station temper-
34 ature trends and time-varying satellite land-cover data.
35 Moore *et al.* find that realistic vegetation parameters over
36 East Africa improve simulations of temperature, and to
37 a lesser extend precipitation, in a regional model. Fall
38 *et al.* (2010b) use NARR data to compare variability and
39 trends in equivalent temperature (including the impact of
40 humidity) to conventional temperature, concluding that
41 equivalent temperature trends include the signature of the
42 underlying vegetation and capture more information than
43 just temperature.

44 These studies provide a sampling of the complexity
45 involved in resolving the role of land use and land-
46 cover change in climate change. These studies show
47 that there can be significant local effects to observed
48 changes in land use, and that models can represent these
49 changes. Unlike the record of warming from increased
50 greenhouse gases, which is most robust at the global
51 scale yet often tenuous locally, impacts from land use
52 change have their strongest signatures at small scales.
53 Even in a controlled modelling framework, uncertain-
54 ties are large and many questions remain, as shown
55 in some of the studies presented in this study as well
56 as the early results from the project on Land Use
57 and Climate IDentification of robust impacts (LUCID;
58 Pitman *et al.*, 2009). The regional importance of land
59 use induced land-cover changes on surface climate

raises the question of the validity of detection/attribution
studies in certain areas where Land Use and Land-
Cover Changes have been consequent since pre-industrial
times.

60 These studies have shown that globally, land use does
61 not introduce monotonic changes in either the surface
62 energy or water balance, nor do all types of vegetation
63 respond in the same manner to the thermal and radiative
64 trends that are occurring. The broad vegetation categories
65 used in global and regional climate models are often
66 found to need adjustment or tuning at local scales,
67 where we see the largest impacts. A more complete and
68 comprehensive survey of vegetation phenology, radiative
69 properties as well as soil, geomorphology and other
70 properties relevant to surface hydrology and meteorology
71 is required. Such a survey would greatly improve our
72 ability to downscale climate change to useful regional
73 and local projections, and to understand how land use
74 changes alter local and regional climate.

75 We also see from the results presented in this issue
76 that the observational network monitoring climate change
77 is not sampling, in proportion to their occurrences, the
78 direct responses or feedbacks from vegetation. In many
79 cases, natural variability in climate is sufficiently large
80 to mask the early signs of the consequences of land
81 use change at the global scale. Waiting for unequivocal
82 climatic evidence frustrates if not scuttles the prospects
83 for mitigation. Thus, we turn to models to project the
84 results of land use changes. But we see again that locally
85 models could verify better than they do. Even with high-
86 quality input data and boundary conditions, the models
87 used for simulating both climate change and land-cover
88 change impacts still have much room for improvement.

89 One way forward might be to focus the scientific
90 community on a small number of pressing questions to
91 which the panoply of observational and modelling poten-
92 tial could be brought to bear. This would provide the
93 impetus to improve both the stream of observational
94 data and the performance of models as each is con-
95 fronted with the current limitations and needs of the
96 other. These questions are not new. What has been the
97 contribution of land use change to the observed sur-
98 face temperature record over the last century? How will
99 the partitioning of precipitation between evapotranspi-
100 ration and runoff be modified with land use change
101 and how will this affect the climate? How, in turn,
102 is climate affected by modifications to the partitioning
103 of precipitation between evapotranspiration and runoff
104 caused by land use change? Are current land use changes
105 exacerbating or ameliorating climate changes from other
106 causes?

107 Issues of land use and land-cover change in the cli-
108 mate context open what was one strictly a physical
109 science to escalating complexity as many other disci-
110 plines are brought into play. As stated by Hibbard *et al.*,
111 'Process understanding, both from the socioeconomic
112 as well as the natural science's side, will be important
113
114
115
116
117
118

1 considerations.' A concerted multidisciplinary effort is
 2 needed to address the issue properly.

3
 4 PAUL A. DIRMEYER,^a DEV NIYOGI,^b
 5 NATHALIE DE NOBLET-DUCOUDRÉ,^c
 6 ROBERT E. DICKINSON^d AND
 7 PETER K. SNYDER^e

8 ^a Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies,
 9 Calverton, MD, USA

10 ^b Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

11 ^c Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de
 12 l'Environnement, Unité mixte CEA-CNRS-UVSQ,
 13 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

14 ^d University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

15 ^e University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA

16
 17 **References**

18 Anantharaj V, Nair U, Lawrence P, Chase T, Christopher S, Jones T.
 19 2010. Comparison of satellite-derived TOA shortwave fluxes
 20 to estimates from GCM simulations constrained by satellite
 21 observations of land surface characteristics. *International Journal*
 22 *of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 23 Costa MH, Pires GF. 2010. Effects of Amazon and Central Brazil
 24 deforestation scenarios on the duration of the dry season in the arc
 25 of deforestation. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 26 Fall S, Niyogi D, Pielke R, Gluhovsky A, Kalnay E, Rochon G.
 27 2010a. Impacts of land use land cover on temperature trends over
 28 the continental United States: assessment using the North American
 29 Regional Reanalysis. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this
 30 issue.
 31 Fall S, Diffenbaugh N, Niyogi D, Peilke Sr R, Rochon G. 2010b.
 32 Temperature and equivalent temperature over the United States
 33 (1979–2005). *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 34 Ge J. 2010. MODIS observed impacts of intensive agriculture on
 35 surface temperature in the southern Great Plains. *International*
 36 *Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 37 Hibbard K, Janetos A, van Vuuren DP, Pongratz J, Rose SK, Betts R,
 38 Herold M, Feddema JJ. 2010. Research priorities in land use and
 39 land cover change for the earth system and integrated assessment
 40 modeling. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 41 Kishitawal CM, Niyogi D, Tewari M, Pielke RA Sr, Shepherd JM.
 42 2010. Urbanization signature in the observed heavy rainfall
 43 climatology over India. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this
 44 issue.
 45 Kvalevåg M, Myhre G, Bonan G, Levis S. 2010. Anthropogenic land
 46 cover changes in a GCM with surface albedo changes based on
 47 MODIS data. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 48 Lawrence PJ, Chase TN. 2010. Investigating the climate impacts of
 49 global land cover change in the Community Climate System Model
 50 (CCSM). *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 51 Mahmood R, Pielke RA Sr, Hubbard KG, Niyogi D, Bonan G,
 Lawrence P, Baker B, McNider R, McAlpine C, Etter A, Gameda S,

52 Qian B, Carleton A, Beltrán-Przekurat A, Chase T, Quintanar AI,
 53 Adegoke JO, Vezhapparambu S, Conner G, Asefi S, Sertel E,
 54 Legates DR, Wu Y, Hale R, Frauenfeld OW, Watts A, Shepherd M,
 55 Mitra C, Anantharaj VG, Fall S, Lund R, Nordfelt A, Blanken P,
 56 Du J, Chang H-I, Leeper R, Nair US, Dobler S, Deo R, Syktus J Sr
 57 2010. Impacts of land use land cover change on climate and future
 58 research priorities. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*
 59 **91**: 37–46, DOI: 10.1175/2009BAMS2769.1.
 60 Mishra V, Cherkauer KA, Niyogi D, Lei M, Pijanowski BC, Ray DK,
 61 Bowling LC, Yang G. 2010. A regional scale assessment of land
 62 use/land cover and climatic changes on water and energy cycle in the
 63 upper Midwest United States. *International Journal of Climatology*
 64 **30**: this issue.
 65 Moore N, Torbick N, Lofgren B, Wang J, Pijanowski B, Andresen J,
 66 Kim D-Y, Olson J. 2010. Adapting MODIS-derived LAI and
 67 fractional cover into the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System
 68 (RAMS) in East Africa. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:
 69 this issue.
 70 National Research Council. 2005. *Radiative Forcing of Climate*
 71 *Change: Expanding the Concept and Addressing Uncertainties*. The
 72 National Academies Press: Washington, DC; 208 pp.
 73 Niyogi D, Mahmood R, Adegoke JO. 2009. Land-use/land-cover
 74 change and its impacts on weather and climate. *Boundary-Layer*
 75 *Meteorology* **133**: 297–298, DOI: 10.1007/s10546-009-9437-8.
 76 Petchprayoon P, Blanken PD, Ekkawatpanit C. 2010. Hydrological
 77 impacts of land use/land cover change in a large river basin in
 78 central northern Thailand. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:
 79 this issue.
 80 Pitman AJ, de Noblet-Ducoudré N, Cruz FT, Davin EL, Bonan GB,
 81 Brovkin V, Claussen M, Delire C, Ganzeveld G, Gayler V, van den
 82 Hurk BJM, Lawrence PJ, van der Molen MK, Müller C, Reick CH,
 83 Seneviratne SI, Strengers BJ, Voldoire A. 2009. Uncertainties in
 84 climate responses to past land cover change: First results from the
 85 LUCID intercomparison study. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36**: • L14814,
 86 DOI: 10.1029/2009GL039076.
 87 Sertel E, Robock A, Ormeci C. 2010. Impacts of land cover changes on
 88 the regional climate of the Marmara region of Turkey. *International*
 89 *Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.
 90 Strengers B, Müller C, Schaeffer M, Haarsma R, Severijns C,
 91 Gerten D, Schaphoff S, van den Houdt R, Oostenrijk R. 2010.
 92 Assessing 20th century climate-vegetation feedbacks of land-
 93 use change and natural vegetation dynamics in a fully coupled
 94 vegetation-climate model. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:
 95 this issue.
 96 Takahashi HG, Yoshikane T, Hara M, Takata K, Yasunari T. 2010.
 97 High-resolution modelling of the potential impact of land-surface
 98 conditions on regional climate over Indochina associated with the
 99 diurnal precipitation cycle. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:
 100 this issue.
 101 Tokairin T, Sofyan A, Kitada T. 2010. Effect of land use change on
 102 local meteorology in Jakarta, Indonesia – toward the evaluation of
 thermal environment of mega cities in Asia. *International Journal of*
Climatology **30**: this issue.

7
 8
 AQ2

76
 AQ3